



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,601	11/21/2003	Olli-Pekka Pohjola	60279.00073	8207
32294	7590	05/17/2007		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
14TH FLOOR			PHAN, HANH	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT				
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,601	POHJOLA ET AL.	
	Examiner Hanh Phan	Art Unit 2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) .
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/06/2007.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 02/05/2007.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 5-7, 9-14, 16-20 and 22-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

-In claims 5 and 12, the phrase "at least one disturbing reflector comprises at least one discrete reflector" should be changed to -- at least two disturbing reflectors comprises at least two discrete reflectors--.

-In claims 6 and 13, the phrase "at least one disturbing reflector comprises a long continuous reflector" should be changed to -- at least two disturbing reflectors comprises at least two long continuous reflectors--.

-In claims 7, 9-11, 14, 16-20 and 22, the phrase "at least one disturbing reflector" should be changed to -- at least two disturbing reflectors--.

-In claim 23, the phrase "said disturbing reflector comprises at least one discrete reflector" should be changed to -- said disturbing reflectors comprises at least two discrete reflectors --.

-In claim 24, the phrase "said disturbing reflector comprises at least a long continuous reflector" should be changed to -- said disturbing reflectors comprises at least two long continuous reflectors --.

-In claim 25, the phrase "said disturbing reflector" should be changed to -- said disturbing reflectors --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

-In claims 1, 8, 15, 21 and 26-29, the phrase "**a second reflection of said upstream signal**" is unclear or undefined. What element produces a second reflection of the upstream signal.

-Claim 15 recites the limitation "**said transmission network**" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

-Claim 21 recites the limitation "**said transmission network**" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

-Claim 28 recites the limitation "**said transmission network**" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

-Claim 29 recites the limitation "**said transmission network**" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-29 are allowed (if overcome the 112 rejection and the objections above).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.



HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER